JCARM
Journal of Contemporary Academic Research and Methodologies

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 1 | Issue 9 | 2026
ISSN: 3139-7247 (Online)
Website: www.journal.jcarm.in

Review Article

Tradition, Power and Women's Agency: The Challenging Role of Umuada in Contemporary Igbo Society

Dr. Elendu Chinyere C.[1*], Dr. Raymond Reuben Shinga[1]

[1] College of Chaplaincy and Social Sciences, Nigeria.

Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Elendu Chinyere C.: cconstanteo@yahoo.com

Article No: 024 | **Accepted**: 30 October 2026 | **Published**:10 November 2026

Abstract: This article critically examines the changing authority of Umuada, associations of daughters linked by birth to Igbo lineages and communities, in relation to tradition, power and women's agency. Rather than treating indigenous women's institutions as either unqualified instruments of emancipation or simple extensions of patriarchy, it investigates how their authority is negotiated across family governance, conflict mediation, cultural regulation and collective development. The study adopts a critical narrative-review design and thematically synthesises historical accounts and secondary scholarship identified in the supplied manuscript. Its discussion is organised around institutional origins, mechanisms of legitimacy, peacebuilding, marital and widowhood practices, social welfare, and transformations associated with migration, religion, formal law and generational change. The literature portrays Umuada as potentially influential mediators and organisers whose birth-based membership can give married women continuing standing in their natal communities. At the same time, accounts of punitive sanctions, asymmetric marital standards and widowhood regulation illustrate that women's collective power does not automatically guarantee gender justice. The article argues that the contemporary significance of Umuada lies in their capacity to combine socially recognised customary authority with accountable, inclusive and rights-respecting practices. It recommends transparent mediation, safeguards for vulnerable participants, intergenerational participation and carefully defined collaboration with formal institutions. Because this is a narrative synthesis rather than field research or a systematic review, its conclusions are interpretive and require further community-level investigation.

Keywords: Umuada, Igbo Society, Women's Agency, Customary Governance, Conflict Mediation, African Feminism, Gender Justice

Copyright © 2026 by the authors https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24091569

1. Introduction

Debates about African women's political power have often been distorted by the assumption that meaningful authority must resemble the offices and procedures of modern states. Yet women have exercised collective influence through lineage networks, marketplaces, ritual associations, kinship obligations and institutions of public deliberation. Among Igbo-speaking communities of southeastern Nigeria, Umuada—commonly understood as daughters of a particular lineage, kindred or community—provide an instructive example of authority that is neither reducible to private domestic life nor equivalent to formal elected office. Their activities reveal how power can be exercised through social recognition, shared identity, moral pressure and the capacity to convene disputing parties (Obasi & Nnamani, 2015; Onyesoh, 2021).

The significance of Umuada becomes clearer when situated within Igbo kinship arrangements. Marriage may relocate a woman to another household, yet birth affiliation can preserve her status and voice in her natal community. This position creates opportunities for mediation across households and for mobilizing support from daughters who live elsewhere. It also creates tensions: the same group may act as an advocate for vulnerable relatives, an enforcer of collective expectations, or an authority whose decisions are difficult to challenge. Accordingly, the institution should be understood as a field of negotiation rather than as a timeless or internally uniform cultural object.

Much writing on Umuada celebrates their intervention when male elders fail to settle disputes and their contributions to ceremonies, infrastructure and social welfare. These are important themes, but celebratory narratives can conceal the distributional effects of customary decisions. Who is permitted to speak? Who defines an offence? What remedies are available to widows, younger women or women accused of violating sexual norms? Can an affected person refuse mediation without social retaliation? These questions matter because the presence of women in a decision-making institution is not, by itself, proof that its decisions promote equality. Studies of adultery penalties and widowhood practices in the source literature raise precisely this concern (Okorie et al., 2020; Uwandu & Iwuajoku, 2026).

The historical setting also complicates simple accounts of continuity. British colonial administration reorganised local authority, frequently privileging male intermediaries, while Christian missions, formal schooling, commercial change and postcolonial state institutions altered the terms of public legitimacy. The 1929 women's protests in southeastern Nigeria are often discussed as evidence of organised female resistance, although they should not be attributed exclusively to Umuada. Contemporary migration and digital communication create additional possibilities for coordinating daughters across towns and countries, even as they may weaken the face-to-face social relations on which customary authority depends (Enyioko, 2021; Ugobude, 2019).

This article therefore asks: How do Umuada associations negotiate tradition, gendered power and women's agency in contemporary Igbo society? Its contribution is analytical rather than documentary: it connects discussions of institutional history and

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

peacebuilding to the less comfortable question of when female collective authority reproduces inequality. It argues that the future relevance of Umuada depends less on preserving every inherited sanction than on retaining legitimate capacities for dialogue, mutual support and collective problem-solving while adapting procedures to principles of dignity, accountability and equal protection.

2. Research Problem, Aim and Questions

The research problem is the gap between widespread acknowledgment of Umuada as influential community actors and insufficient critical assessment of how their authority operates under contemporary conditions. Descriptive studies often treat mediation, moral regulation and development activity as mutually reinforcing public goods. In practice, however, these functions may conflict. The power to secure compliance can make settlement possible while also exposing less powerful participants to stigma or coercion. Similarly, an association's ability to represent daughters does not necessarily mean that all daughters have equal opportunities to shape its decisions.

The overall aim is to assess the contemporary role of Umuada as an institution through which tradition and women's agency interact. The specific objectives are to trace major historical transformations in Umuada authority; examine contributions to conflict management and communal development; assess tensions between customary enforcement and women's rights; and identify conditions under which the institution might remain socially relevant and publicly accountable. The guiding questions concern the sources of legitimacy, the mechanisms and limits of intervention, the distribution of benefits and burdens, and the effects of legal, religious and generational change.

3. Methodology and Scope

This study uses a critical narrative literature-review approach. A narrative review is appropriate when the purpose is to interpret concepts and competing explanations across historical, sociological and gender-focused writing rather than to estimate the pooled effect of a defined intervention. The starting corpus consists of the books, articles, theses and web-based materials cited in the manuscript supplied for revision. Sources were considered for their relevance to Umuada, Igbo women's organizations, indigenous conflict resolution, gendered customary practice and broader institutional change. The discussion gives priority to works directly addressing Umuada and uses adjacent scholarship to contextualize rather than substitute for evidence about the institution.

The material was read through four analytic lenses: (1) forms of membership and legitimacy; (2) instruments of power and conflict management; (3) distributive and rights implications of decisions; and (4) institutional adaptation. Claims were compared across thematic categories, with special attention to disagreements between accounts emphasizing peacebuilding and accounts documenting restrictive sanctions. Historical episodes are treated as context, not as proof that a single institution operated uniformly across all Igbo communities. The review does not claim to be exhaustive, and no database search counts,

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

screening totals, interview transcripts or field observations are reported because these were not supplied or undertaken for this manuscript.

A further limitation concerns bibliographic reliability. Several entries in the source list are incomplete, inconsistent or linked only to local computer paths, and their publication details require independent confirmation. Consequently, citations here identify the works as represented in the supplied materials; the reference list is a provisional submission bibliography, not a claim of external verification. Before journal submission, each item should be checked against its original publication, corrected or removed if it cannot be authenticated. These limits mean that the thematic conclusions are reasoned interpretations of the available literature rather than generalizable empirical findings about every Umuada association.

3.1 Review limitations and evidentiary caution

This article synthesizes literature supplied for the manuscript and develops an interpretive argument; it does not claim to be a systematic review, a comprehensive database search or an independent field investigation. The studies cited differ in geography, methods and evidentiary strength, and some bibliographic records still require checking against publisher versions. Accordingly, the thematic statements in this article should not be interpreted as prevalence estimates or as proof that any practice occurs uniformly across Igboland. Descriptions of particular sanctions are treated as reported practices, not endorsements.

The review also faces a likely publication bias toward notable disputes, successful interventions and culturally distinctive ceremonies. Everyday instances in which associations decline to intervene, produce mixed outcomes or are challenged by younger members may be less visible in published accounts. The analysis therefore distinguishes descriptive claims about reported activities from normative arguments about how institutions ought to operate. Any subsequent empirical study should document sampling, consent, ethical safeguards and the standpoint of participants who are not officeholders.

4. Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

4.1 Umuada, kinship and indigenous authority

The word Umuada is commonly rendered as “daughters,” but the collective meaning is more specific than a demographic category. It denotes women whose common natal affiliation gives them a basis for acting together in matters affecting a lineage or community. Terminology, eligibility and internal hierarchy vary across localities; Umuokpu and related expressions are sometimes used in particular dialect settings. Umuada should also be distinguished from groups of women married into a community, often called Ndinyom, though the practical relationships between associations are locally contingent (Emejulu & Umeagudosi, 2019; Ugobude, 2019).

Customary authority is not identical to state coercion. Its force can derive from the recognition of members as kin, the expectation that elders deserve a hearing, reputational consequences and the perceived legitimacy of procedures. In some settings, Umuada may

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

be invited into a dispute precisely because their relationship to natal kin makes them insiders with a distinct standpoint. That status can help bridge divisions but does not automatically guarantee neutrality: lineage interests, age, class, wealth and personal relationships can shape deliberation. The concept of indigenous authority is therefore used descriptively, not as a presumption that every customary decision is fair.

4.2 Women's agency and African feminist interpretation

Women's agency refers to the capacity to make choices, act collectively, interpret obligations and influence outcomes under social constraints. Agency need not take the form of openly rejecting tradition. It may involve invoking accepted cultural idioms to demand restitution, mobilising relatives for welfare, negotiating with male elders or changing the meaning of customary duties. An African feminist reading resists portraying women merely as victims of tradition while also refusing to romanticise female leadership. It asks how women act within historically specific relations of gender, generation, marriage, religion and economic position.

The distinction between agency and emancipation is central. A senior woman may exercise considerable influence while defending rules that disadvantage younger women. A group may secure compensation for a member yet support punitive treatment of another woman accused of violating marital norms. These outcomes are not paradoxes to be explained away; they reveal that women's power can be exercised in different political directions. Evaluating agency thus requires attention to who controls decisions, whose interests are advanced, and whether affected people have meaningful avenues for appeal.

4.3 Gendered power and legal pluralism

Power is treated here as relational and institutional. It includes agenda-setting, control of speaking opportunities, the ability to impose social costs and the authority to declare a dispute resolved. Within Igbo communities, customary practices coexist with statutory law, religious norms and individual claims to constitutional rights. This legal and moral pluralism can enable parties to seek multiple venues for redress, but it can also produce uncertainty over which decision should prevail. The presence of formal courts does not erase customary mediation; equally, cultural recognition does not exempt customary action from scrutiny where intimidation, discrimination or violence is involved. A defensible model of contemporary legitimacy must therefore combine social embeddedness with procedural fairness and rights safeguards.

5. Historical Evolution of the Institution

5.1 Precolonial social organization

Historical interpretations of Igbo governance often describe dispersed and overlapping institutions rather than a single centralised hierarchy. Women's associations, age grades, kinship councils and ritual bodies could each exercise influence in defined spheres. Descriptions of a dual-sex political system emphasise that women were not wholly absent from public decision-making, although the balance of authority differed between communities and was never necessarily egalitarian in the contemporary sense. Within this

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

landscape, Umuada had recognised capacities to speak in natal-family matters and intervene when relations between households became strained (Onyesoh, 2021).

Economic activity reinforced the importance of women's networks. Farming, local trade and forms of mutual assistance supplied both resources and occasions for collective organisation. The significance of these practices lies not only in their material contribution but also in the social relationships they created. Repeated cooperation made it possible to mobilise attendance, circulate information and enforce obligations. Nevertheless, claims that women possessed uniform political equality before colonialism should be treated cautiously; social status was differentiated by age, marriage, lineage and local custom.

5.2 Colonial restructuring and collective resistance

Colonial rule altered institutions by assigning formal recognition and administrative powers to selected local intermediaries. The privileging of warrant chiefs and other male-centered offices could diminish avenues through which women had previously exerted influence. Such changes were uneven, but they help explain why modern discussions of women's political exclusion cannot be understood solely as the continuation of an unchanging precolonial order. The economic and religious changes of the period also affected the authority of kinship-based associations.

The women's protests of 1929 demonstrate the capacity of southeastern Nigerian women to mobilise against colonial policies and local abuses. Their importance for the present discussion is comparative: women's collective political action drew upon established repertoires of gathering, protest and social sanction. It would be historically inaccurate, however, to treat the protests as an exclusively Umuada campaign without community-specific evidence. Distinguishing broad women's mobilisation from the narrower membership of Umuada is necessary for credible institutional history (Enyioko, 2021).

5.3 Postcolonial continuity and transformation

Independence, civil war, reconstruction, expanded schooling and urban migration reshaped local associational life. Women with professional employment and residence outside their natal towns could contribute financial resources, administrative skills and access to wider networks. At the same time, distance made regular participation difficult and sometimes concentrated influence among those able to fund projects or travel home. The institution consequently evolved in more than one direction: local mediation persisted in some settings, while hometown development and diaspora networking gained visibility in others.

Christian women's fellowships, market associations and August Meeting organisations may overlap with Umuada membership, but they should not be conflated. They can have different membership criteria, objectives and accountability structures. The coexistence of these bodies shows that contemporary Igbo women draw on multiple forms of association rather than a single uninterrupted institutional tradition (Scent & Chukwu, 2023).

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

6. Findings from the Thematic Literature Synthesis

6.1 Mediation, reconciliation and the authority to convene

The most recurrent theme is the role of Umuada in disputes involving marriage, inheritance, burial arrangements and relations among kin. Accounts describe an ability to summon disputants, hear competing narratives, consult elders and press for a settlement. The advantage of such procedures is their familiarity: participants may understand the language, relationships and practical consequences of a decision better than they would in a distant formal forum. Settlements may also include apology, restitution and symbolic reconciliation rather than a narrow determination of legal liability (Obasi & Nnamani, 2015; Ogbonna et al., 2024).

Yet mediation should not be equated with an assured peaceful outcome. Claims that Umuada invariably succeed where men fail are best understood as statements of perceived authority or idealized reputation, not established universal findings. Effectiveness depends on the nature of the dispute, the willingness of participants to comply, the perceived fairness of mediators and the availability of alternatives. A durable resolution requires more than the visible cessation of disagreement: it must address underlying grievances and avoid placing disproportionate costs on those with less bargaining power.

The distinction between conciliation and coercion is especially important. Some accounts describe threats of ostracism, fines or public shame as enforcement mechanisms. Such sanctions may produce rapid compliance but can also suppress legitimate disagreement. Their use should be assessed according to proportionality, due process, the absence of discrimination and the ability of an individual to seek an independent remedy. Public humiliation, collective punishment and forced participation are difficult to reconcile with a rights-respecting conception of peacebuilding.

6.2 Marriage, kinship and asymmetric discipline

Family disputes illustrate both the potential and the limits of Umuada authority. As relatives with continuing natal ties, members may help de-escalate conflict between spouses and extended families, clarify expectations and mobilise support for reconciliation. The intervention of respected women can be valuable where male-dominated meetings marginalise a wife's account. However, some descriptions of customary adjudication place disproportionate responsibility on wives to preserve marital harmony, particularly when conflicts involve mothers-in-law, accusations of infidelity or alleged disrespect (Nwankwo, 2022; Okorie et al., 2020).

The ethical question is not whether marriage should be valued but whether the process treats both spouses as equal moral agents. If women face public confession, ritual penalties or expulsion while men's conduct is evaluated differently, a procedure cannot be defended simply because women administer it. The review therefore separates the institution's capacity to intervene from the justice of particular outcomes. Any contemporary marital mediation should reject violence, forced reconciliation, punitive sexual double standards and practices that prevent a person from obtaining legal protection.

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

Where domestic violence or threats of serious harm are alleged, informal mediation alone may be inappropriate. Safety planning, confidential referral and access to qualified services should take precedence over pressure to maintain a marriage. This recommendation follows from general principles of survivor protection and is not presented as evidence that all Umuada associations currently have such referral systems.

6.3 Widowhood, inheritance and contested justice

Widowhood and property disputes expose the intersection of gender, age, kinship and economic security. Traditional institutions may help widows challenge opportunistic relatives, mobilise assistance and negotiate access to resources. Conversely, they may participate in enforcing mourning restrictions, humiliating rites or inheritance expectations that disproportionately burden women. The study of Orodo cited in the supplied literature is significant because it reportedly identifies women among those enforcing restrictive widowhood norms (Uwandu & Iwuajoku, 2026).

This tension demonstrates why "women's participation" and "women's rights" are analytically distinct outcomes. A female-led body may protect collective identity while maintaining rules that constrain individual members. Research should therefore ask whether widows can decline a practice without losing social support, whether disputes are heard without prejudice and whether outcomes comply with applicable protections. The authority to preserve custom should be accompanied by a willingness to revise customs whose burdens are arbitrary, harmful or unequal.

6.4 Cultural continuity and moral regulation

Umuada can transmit language, ceremonial knowledge, kinship histories and norms of mutual responsibility. Their participation in funerals, festivals and other collective occasions may reinforce intergenerational belonging and offer a venue for community dialogue. These cultural contributions should not be dismissed as merely symbolic; shared ceremonies can create social trust and networks through which assistance is organised.

Nonetheless, cultural stewardship may shade into surveillance of dress, sexuality and personal conduct. An institution's cultural legitimacy does not justify harassment or discrimination against people whose lives depart from dominant expectations. A productive distinction is between voluntary cultural education and coercive moral regulation. The former sustains collective memory while allowing interpretation and change; the latter risks reducing cultural authority to the power to punish difference. The contemporary challenge is to preserve living heritage without treating all inherited practices as beyond criticism.

6.5 Welfare, development and translocal solidarity

Another major theme is community development. Reports describe contributions to meeting halls, water projects, educational support, ceremonies and emergency assistance. Because natal affiliation can persist across residence and marriage, Umuada networks may connect rural communities to daughters working in urban centres or abroad. These connections can facilitate fundraising, access to professional expertise and coordination of collective projects (Emejulu & Umeagudosi, 2019; Scent & Chukwu, 2023).

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

The presence of donations, however, should not be treated as evidence of equitable development without further assessment. Projects differ in reach, maintenance, decision-making and opportunity costs. For example, a visible building may enhance prestige while leaving more urgent needs unmet. Transparent budgeting, consultation with beneficiaries and published reporting would strengthen the legitimacy of development activity. It is also necessary to distinguish claims about Umuada projects from activities undertaken by broader August Meeting or hometown associations where membership overlaps.

6.6 Political voice and public representation

The capacity to deliberate collectively and intervene in disputes makes Umuada politically significant even when they do not compete for elected office. Members may influence community priorities, support candidates, advocate for public services or challenge exclusion from decision-making. Such forms of power complicate a narrow division between "traditional" domestic women and "modern" political women. They also show why political participation should be measured through influence and agenda-setting as well as office-holding.

At the same time, collective representation raises questions about internal democracy. Who determines the group's position? Do younger, poorer or geographically distant members have equal opportunities to participate? Are dissenting women permitted to question senior leaders? The ability to speak on behalf of daughters requires mechanisms through which daughters can contest that representation. Without such safeguards, institutional visibility can coexist with internal hierarchy.

6.7 Generational change, migration and digital coordination

Contemporary Umuada associations do not operate within a single, territorially bounded community. Daughters of a lineage may reside in Nigerian cities or outside the country while continuing to participate in decisions concerning funerals, family obligations, land and communal development. Distance can increase the resources available to an association, because members may contribute professional expertise and remittances, but it can also weaken everyday knowledge of local disputes. A member returning for an annual gathering may have influence without having witnessed the sequence of events that produced a disagreement. The legitimacy of an intervention therefore depends not only on birth membership but also on informed listening and accountability to those most affected.

Communication technologies may make it easier to coordinate contributions, circulate notices and sustain networks between meetings. Yet digital participation should not be confused with equal participation. Members with smartphones, stable connectivity, literacy in the dominant language of the group and discretionary income may be more visible than older or poorer members. Similarly, diaspora members who contribute substantial funds may be given disproportionate weight in decisions affecting residents. These are analytical possibilities rather than documented prevalence estimates: the available literature does not establish how widespread such dynamics are across Igbo

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

communities. They nevertheless indicate important questions for future comparative research.

Generational change also creates opportunities for institutional renewal. Younger women may interpret solidarity through educational support, professional mentoring, access to healthcare and protection against violence, whereas senior members may prioritize ritual obligations and established disciplinary procedures. These priorities need not be mutually exclusive. Deliberative meetings in which different generations explain the values underlying their positions could preserve cultural continuity while allowing customs to change. The critical issue is whether disagreement can be expressed without loss of membership, social standing or access to communal support.

6.8 Boundaries of jurisdiction and procedural fairness

A recurring question in accounts of Umuada mediation is the boundary between persuasion and coercion. Traditional authority may help bring reluctant parties to the same table, but the power to convene is not equivalent to an unrestricted power to punish. In contemporary Nigeria, decisions about violence, child protection, inheritance and personal liberty also engage statutory law and constitutional rights. Community mediation should therefore be understood as one potential forum within a plural legal environment, not as a substitute for courts, police protection or specialised services where these are required.

Procedural fairness offers a practical way to distinguish legitimate community mediation from arbitrary discipline. At a minimum, parties should receive notice of allegations, an opportunity to respond, a decision by persons without direct conflicts of interest, and an explanation of the reasons for any agreed outcome. Consent matters especially where social pressure makes refusal difficult. Confidentiality may protect families from stigma, but secrecy must not become a means of concealing abuse. When a dispute concerns domestic violence or threats of harm, the priority should be immediate safety, survivor choice and access to competent services rather than forced reconciliation.

This distinction is especially important for cases involving unmarried pregnancy, widowhood rites and alleged marital infidelity. The historical existence of sanctions does not establish their moral legitimacy today. A gender-sensitive analysis asks whether rules are applied consistently, whether penalties are proportionate, whether individuals can appeal, and whether the person most affected can decline an outcome. Umuada authority is strengthened, rather than diminished, when its exercise can be justified through fairness, non-discrimination and transparent procedures.

7. Discussion: Tradition, Power and the Ambivalence of Agency

The synthesis suggests that Umuada authority operates through a combination of birth-based belonging, social memory, public recognition and the ability to coordinate action. These features explain why the institution can remain relevant despite transformations in formal governance. A daughter may possess an enduring claim to participate in natal affairs even when she has married elsewhere, and collective intervention can carry moral weight unavailable to an isolated complainant. In this sense, Umuada

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

demonstrate that women's political agency can be embedded in kinship rather than confined to modern organizations.

But the same features generate vulnerabilities. Birth-based membership can strengthen solidarity while limiting the voice of outsiders or women married into the community. Deference to seniority can stabilise proceedings while suppressing younger members. Informal enforcement can facilitate rapid settlement while undermining consent. These tensions are not reasons to abandon indigenous institutions wholesale; they are reasons to evaluate particular practices rather than to romanticise an institution as inherently emancipatory.

The most important conceptual finding is that collective female power has no predetermined political meaning. When Umuada protect a widow's property, demand a fair hearing or support education, their authority may expand substantive agency. When they police women's conduct more harshly than men's, their authority may reproduce gender hierarchy. An analytical framework focused solely on the sex of decision-makers misses this difference. A more rigorous assessment considers procedures, power asymmetries, substantive outcomes and the possibility of appeal.

The relationship between customary and statutory institutions should also be understood as selective coordination rather than an either-or choice. Informal processes may be valuable for voluntary, lower-risk interpersonal disputes where all parties can participate freely. Formal legal and protective institutions are necessary where serious abuse, coercion, discrimination or criminal allegations arise. Cooperation could involve training, referral protocols and documentation, but such arrangements must avoid granting unreviewable coercive power to community actors.

Generational change presents an additional test. Younger women may value heritage yet resist sanctions they regard as humiliating or inconsistent with education and rights awareness. Older members may worry that reform will erode the moral force that enables collective action. Neither perspective should be caricatured. Structured intergenerational dialogue, transparent election procedures and regular review of customary rules could convert this disagreement into institutional learning. Diaspora participation may bring resources and new ideas, but decision-making must remain responsive to residents who experience the consequences of local decisions.

A future research agenda should examine variation rather than assume a single Igbo model. Comparative ethnographic studies could investigate how different communities select leaders, manage conflicts of interest, respond to domestic violence, record settlements and engage with formal courts. Interviews with disputants—including those dissatisfied with outcomes—would help correct the tendency to rely on leaders' accounts of success. Research should also document how age, education, income, disability and marital status affect participation. These questions cannot be answered definitively from the narrative sources assembled here.

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

7.1 Interpreting agency without romanticizing tradition

The language of agency can be misleading when it is reduced to the fact that women exercise authority. A women-led body may widen opportunities for collective action while also policing the conduct of other women. It is therefore necessary to distinguish who exercises power, over whom, for what purpose and with what consequences. Authority held by women is analytically significant in a patriarchal context, but its gender composition does not automatically make every decision emancipatory. The Umuada case demonstrates that institutional power and individual freedom can move in different directions.

A second difficulty is the tendency to treat "Igbo tradition" as a uniform and unchanging set of rules. Local histories, religious affiliations, economic conditions and the scale of kindred organisation differ. Even where associations share a name, their membership rules, sanctions, meeting practices and relationship to village councils may vary. The present review consequently uses Umuada as a family of related institutional practices rather than assuming that every description applies to every community. Claims about universal powers or inevitable success in mediation should be read critically unless supported by comparative evidence.

A third issue concerns the difference between recognition and representation. An association may be respected at ceremonial events but excluded from budget allocation, land-use planning or formal local governance. Conversely, it may be asked to resolve disputes without being given resources to support vulnerable participants after reconciliation. Assessing contemporary relevance requires more than counting meetings or ceremonial appearances. It requires evidence of whose interests are represented, whether decisions are implemented, whether grievances recur and whether women and girls report greater security and influence.

7.2 A framework for evaluating contemporary contributions

Future scholarship could evaluate Umuada initiatives across four dimensions. The first is accessibility: who can approach the association, what costs are imposed, and whether migrants, young women, widows and women with disabilities can be heard. The second is procedural legitimacy: whether participants regard the process as impartial, voluntary and intelligible. The third is substantive justice: whether outcomes protect safety, property, dignity and equal treatment. The fourth is sustainability: whether agreements endure and whether members can mobilise assistance after the immediate dispute has ended.

These dimensions can be studied through comparative community case studies, interviews with disputants and mediators, observation of meetings where ethically appropriate, and examination of association rules. Research designs should include people who declined mediation or were dissatisfied with its outcomes, not only respected leaders and successful cases. Such sampling would help reduce the positive-selection bias that occurs when institutional histories are told primarily through celebrated interventions.

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

Where the subject involves abuse or family conflict, confidentiality and safeguarding protocols are essential.

A useful comparison would examine communities with different levels of urban migration and differing relationships between Umuada, Ndinyom, religious organisations and customary courts. This would clarify whether authority is maintained through kinship identity, demonstrated problem-solving capacity, financial contributions, spiritual standing or some combination of these. It could also reveal situations in which collaboration works well and those in which competing jurisdictions delay protection or deepen conflict. These are proposals for future research, not findings produced by the present narrative review.

8. Policy and Institutional Recommendations

First, Umuada associations should strengthen procedural fairness by clearly communicating the scope of their authority, hearing all affected parties, documenting agreed settlements and providing opportunities for review. Mediation should be voluntary wherever appropriate, and refusal to participate should not trigger harassment or collective retaliation.

Second, associations should distinguish reconciliation from coercive discipline. Practices involving public humiliation, discriminatory sexual sanctions, forced marriage, degrading widowhood rites or collective punishment should be abandoned. Allegations involving violence or serious threats should prompt confidential safeguarding and referral rather than pressure to settle privately.

Third, leadership structures should be more inclusive. Transparent selection processes, rotation of responsibilities, financial disclosure and meaningful opportunities for younger and less affluent members to speak can reinforce both accountability and legitimacy. Members living away from their natal communities should be able to contribute without displacing the priorities of local residents.

Fourth, community-development initiatives should adopt needs assessment, public budgeting and monitoring of project outcomes. Collaboration with local government and civil society may increase technical capacity, provided it does not compromise community autonomy or create partisan capture.

Finally, scholars and policymakers should recognize indigenous women’s organizations as important subjects of research and potential partners in appropriate forms of peacebuilding. Recognition should be conditional on respect for individual dignity and should never be mistaken for a blanket endorsement of every customary practice.

8.1 Practical implementation priorities

Institutional strengthening should begin with locally led discussions rather than a uniform reform template imposed on all communities. Associations can review their constitutions or customary rules and identify provisions that risk discriminatory treatment, disproportionate penalties or exclusion from decision-making. A short written code of practice could clarify membership, leadership rotation, financial reporting, complaints

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

procedures and referral pathways. Such documentation need not erase oral tradition; it can instead make the terms of collective authority more understandable to members and the wider community.

Capacity-building should be targeted to tasks that Umuada members actually perform. Training in facilitated dialogue, record-keeping, nonviolent communication and basic rights awareness may be more useful than generic leadership seminars. Collaboration with legal aid providers, social workers, health professionals and local government offices can provide a referral option when a matter exceeds the association's competence. These partnerships should preserve the group's community credibility without transferring statutory responsibilities to volunteers who lack the mandate or resources to discharge them.

Finally, accountability must include the people who experience the consequences of decisions. Anonymous feedback, periodic review of settled disputes and representation of younger and less affluent members can help test whether reforms are working. Indicators should focus on fair access, participant safety, durability of agreements and responsiveness to complaints, rather than simply the number of disputes closed. The aim is not to replace indigenous authority with an external model but to support its most constructive capacities while setting clear limits on coercion and discrimination.

9. Conclusion

Umuada occupy an important place in the historical and contemporary social life of many Igbo communities. Their enduring natal ties and collective organisation can enable mediation, welfare mobilisation, cultural continuity and public influence. Yet their significance cannot be assessed by counting interventions or celebrating their authority alone. The same institutions that create opportunities for women to act collectively can, in particular circumstances, sustain unequal expectations and coercive social regulation.

This article has argued for an interpretation of Umuada as a changing arena of power in which tradition and women's agency are continually negotiated. Their continued relevance depends on combining locally meaningful forms of legitimacy with transparency, inclusiveness, procedural fairness and protection of rights. The conclusion is not that tradition and gender justice are inevitably opposed, but that their compatibility must be demonstrated in actual institutional practices rather than assumed. More rigorous comparative and field-based research is needed to establish how contemporary associations operate, whose voices they include and which forms of intervention produce fair and sustainable outcomes.

References

1. Afatakpa, O. F. (2025). *The role of indigenous women groups in conflict management and transformation in Nigeria: A comparative study of the Umuada and the Jagunmolus*.
2. Emejulu, I., & Umeagudosi, M. (2019). Changing patterns in the roles of Umuada in Igbo social formations.
3. Enyioko, N. (2021). *Aba women's riots (November to December, 1929)*.

Copyright © 2026 by the authors

4. Nwankwo, B. C. (2022). The Umuada is striking newer chords in cultural dynamism and feminism in Igboland. *Afrocritik*.

5. Obasi, C. O., & Nnamani, R. G. (2015). The role of Umuada Igbo in conflict management and development in Nigeria. *Open Journal of Political Science*, *5*(4), 256–263.

6. Ogbonna, O. B., Aliegba, E. T., & Abdul, A. M. (2024). Impact of traditional conflict management strategies of Ndieze and Umuada on security in Ngwa Clan in Abia State, Nigeria.

7. Okorie, A., Ostar, C., & Chikwado, E. (2020). An assessment of the traditional penal code for adultery and the operations of women's rights instruments among the Igbos, in South-Eastern Nigeria. *International Journal of Political Science*, *6*(4), 33–45.

8. Onyesoh, N. (2021). *Umuada: A sociopolitical institution for peacebuilding and conflict resolution in Enugu State, Nigeria*.

9. Scent, G. A., & Chukwu, C. C. (2023). August meeting and its change mantra: An appraisal of the quest for economic independence for rural women in the Igbo ethnic group of Nigeria. *Research Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies*, *9*(1), 8–22.

10. Shinga, R. R. (2025). *The role of women in Northeast Nigeria: A comparative study in Northeast States*.

11. Ugobude, F. (2019). The influence of the Umu Ada sect in Igboland. *The Guardian Nigeria*.

12. Uwandu, B. I., & Iwuajoku, J. N. (2026). Widowhood, patriarchy, and gendered power in Orodo, Southeast Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, *31*(9), 1–6.

© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).