JCARM
Journal of Contemporary Academic Research and Methodologies

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 1 | Issue 2 | March 2026
Website: www.journal.jcarm.in

Research

Enhancing Psychological Practices in the 21st Century: The Role of Digital and Artificial Intelligence Technology

Eze, Grace Uzoamaka PhD

Department of Social Sciences, Federal Polytechnic, Oko, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Correspondence should be addressed to: amakaernie@gmail.com

Abstract: This study examined the role of digital and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in enhancing psychological practices in the 21st century, with particular focus on South East Nigeria. The study was guided by four objectives: to examine the role of digital technologies in improving access to and delivery of psychological services; assess the impact of AI on diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring of mental health conditions; evaluate the benefits and challenges associated with integrating digital and AI technologies into psychological practice; and provide recommendations for their effective and ethical integration. A quantitative research design using a descriptive survey approach was adopted. The population comprised psychologists, counselors, mental health practitioners, and allied professionals in South East Nigeria, while a purposive sampling technique was used to select 200 respondents. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire and analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, including mean, standard deviation, Pearson Product-Moment Correlation, and multiple regression analysis, at the 0.05 level of significance. The findings revealed that digital technologies significantly improved access to psychological services and enhanced service delivery, while AI technologies positively influenced diagnosis, treatment support, and continuous monitoring of mental health conditions. The study also found that although the integration of these technologies offers benefits such as efficiency, accessibility, and improved patient care, challenges relating to data privacy, ethical concerns, limited infrastructure, and inadequate technical competence remain significant. The study concluded that digital and AI technologies have strong potential to enhance psychological practices in South East Nigeria when effectively and ethically integrated. It was therefore recommended that efforts should be made to strengthen digital infrastructure, build practitioners' capacity, and develop clear ethical and regulatory frameworks for technology use in psychological practice.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Digital technology, Mental health, Psychological practice, South East Nigeria, Teletherapy

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19550183

Introduction

The 21st century has witnessed unprecedented advancements in digital and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, fundamentally transforming various sectors, including healthcare and psychological practice. The integration of digital tools such as mobile health applications, teletherapy platforms, wearable devices, and AI-driven systems has significantly reshaped how psychological services are delivered, accessed, and evaluated. These innovations have not only expanded the reach of mental health services but have also introduced new opportunities for personalised, data-driven, and scalable interventions.

Traditionally, psychological practice has relied heavily on face-to-face interactions, subjective assessments, and clinician-driven decision-making processes. While effective, these conventional approaches often face limitations such as restricted accessibility, high costs, stigma associated with seeking mental health care, and a shortage of qualified professionals, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. In this context, digital and AI technologies present transformative potential to bridge these gaps by enabling remote access to care, automating routine processes, and enhancing diagnostic accuracy through advanced data analytics.

Artificial intelligence, in particular, has emerged as a powerful tool in psychological practice. Machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, and predictive analytics are increasingly being used to detect mental health conditions, monitor patient progress, and deliver therapeutic interventions such as chatbot-based cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT). Similarly, digital platforms have facilitated telepsychology services, allowing practitioners to connect with clients across geographical boundaries, thereby improving inclusivity and continuity of care.

Despite these promising developments, the integration of digital and AI technologies into psychological practice is not without challenges. Ethical concerns surrounding data privacy, confidentiality, algorithmic bias, and the potential dehumanisation of care remain critical issues that must be addressed. Furthermore, there is a growing need for practitioners to develop digital competencies and adapt to evolving technological landscapes to ensure effective and ethical practice.

This paper explores the role of digital and artificial intelligence technologies in enhancing psychological practices in the 21st century. It examines the opportunities presented by these innovations, the challenges associated with their adoption, and the implications for practitioners, policymakers, and researchers. By critically analysing current

trends and developments, the study aims to contribute to ongoing discussions on how technology can be effectively leveraged to improve mental health outcomes while maintaining the core human elements of psychological care.

Objectives of the Study

The general objective of this study is to examine how digital and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies enhance psychological practices in the 21st century, while the specific objectives are to:

1. Examine the role of digital technologies in improving access to and the delivery of psychological services.
2. Assess the impact of artificial intelligence on the diagnosis, treatment and monitoring of mental health conditions.
3. Evaluate the benefits and challenges associated with integrating digital and AI technologies into psychological practice.
4. Provide recommendations for the effective and ethical integration of digital and AI technologies in psychological practice.

Research Questions

The study seeks to answer the following questions:

1. What role do digital technologies play in improving access to and the delivery of psychological services?
2. How does artificial intelligence influence the diagnosis, treatment and monitoring of mental health conditions?
3. What are the benefits and challenges associated with the integration of digital and AI technologies in psychological practice?
4. What strategies can be adopted to ensure the effective and ethical use of digital and AI technologies in psychological practice?

Research Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses have been formulated to guide the study:

1. H_{01}: Digital technologies have no significant effect on the access to and delivery of psychological services.
2. H_{02}: Artificial intelligence has no significant influence on the diagnosis, treatment and monitoring of mental health conditions.
3. H_{03}: There are no significant benefits or challenges associated with the integration of digital and AI technologies in psychological practice.

4. H_{04}: There are no significant strategies for the effective and ethical integration of digital and AI technologies into psychological practice.

Literature Review

Conceptual Framework

This study is anchored in the idea that digital technology and artificial intelligence are the major independent variables influencing the enhancement of psychological practices in the 21st century, which serves as the dependent variable.

Digital technology in this study refers to tools such as teletherapy platforms, mobile mental health applications, electronic records, online counselling systems, wearable devices, and digital assessment tools. These technologies improve psychological practice by increasing access to mental health services, the speed of service delivery, flexibility in care, continuity of treatment, and communication between psychologist and client.

Artificial intelligence technology refers to the use of machine learning, natural language processing, predictive analytics, AI chatbots, and automated decision-support systems in psychological practice. These AI tools contribute to psychological practice through improved diagnosis, early detection of mental health conditions, monitoring of patient progress, personalised interventions, and treatment support.

The dependent variable, enhanced psychological practice, is reflected in outcomes such as better access to care, improved quality of service delivery, timely diagnosis, effective treatment, continuous monitoring, and greater efficiency in psychological service provision.

However, the relationship between these variables is influenced by certain intervening factors such as ethical issues, data privacy, confidentiality, professional competence, digital literacy, infrastructure, and policy regulation. These factors can either strengthen or weaken the extent to which digital and AI technologies improve psychological practice.

Therefore, the framework assumes that when digital and artificial intelligence technologies are effectively and ethically integrated into psychological services, they significantly enhance psychological practices in the twenty-first century.

The Role of Digital Technologies in Improving Access to and Delivery of Psychological Services

Digital technologies have become central to contemporary psychological practice because they expand access to care and make service delivery more flexible. The World

Health Organization describes digital health as the use of digital technologies to strengthen health systems and improve healthcare delivery, while the National Institute of Mental Health notes that technology-enhanced interventions now play a growing role in prevention, treatment, and recovery support in mental health care (National Institute of Mental Health [NIMH], n.d.; World Health Organization [WHO], 2021). These tools include teletherapy platforms, mobile mental health applications, online counselling services, and wearable devices that support assessment and follow-up.

The literature shows that digital interventions help address longstanding barriers in psychology, including distance, cost, stigma, and shortages of professionals. Löchner and Carlbring (2025) argue that digital mental health tools are transforming traditional systems of care by extending services beyond physical clinics and allowing more continuous, scalable support. The NIMH similarly states that mental health technologies are increasingly used across screening, assessment, and intervention, making care more accessible to populations who might otherwise go untreated (Löchner & Carlbring, 2025; NIMH, n.d.).

Thus, the literature suggests that digital technologies are no longer peripheral to psychological services. Rather, they are becoming core instruments for improving reach, responsiveness, and continuity of care in the 21st century (NIMH, n.d.; WHO, 2021).

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Diagnosis, Treatment, and Monitoring of Mental Health Conditions

Artificial intelligence has emerged as a major innovation in psychological and mental health practice. According to the American Psychological Association, AI is already being used to streamline administrative processes, improve workflow efficiency, and aid clinical decision-making in mental health care (American Psychological Association [APA], 2024). In practice, AI applications include machine learning models for pattern recognition, natural language processing for speech and text analysis, predictive systems for identifying mental health risks, and AI-supported chatbots for therapeutic support.

Empirical literature reinforces this growing importance. Cruz-Gonzalez et al. (2025) found in a systematic review that AI applications in mental health care are concentrated in three major domains: diagnosis, monitoring, and intervention. Likewise, Ni and Jia (2025) reported that AI-driven digital interventions are now being used across screening, therapeutic support, remote monitoring, prevention, and even clinical education. Together, these findings indicate that AI is increasingly embedded throughout the continuum of

psychological care rather than confined to one narrow function (Cruz-Gonzalez et al., 2025; Ni & Jia, 2025).

The implications for psychological practice are significant. AI offers the possibility of more data-informed, timely, and personalised care, especially where the demand for services exceeds available human resources. At the same time, the literature makes clear that the clinical value of AI depends on proper validation, responsible implementation, and continued human oversight (APA, 2024; Cruz-Gonzalez et al., 2025).

The Benefits and Challenges Associated with Integrating Digital and AI Technologies into Psychological Practice

The reviewed literature identifies several benefits of integrating digital and AI technologies into psychology. These include improved access to services, convenience for service users, better continuity of care, more efficient symptom monitoring, and the potential for personalised interventions. APA notes that AI can reduce routine administrative burdens and support more efficient practice workflows, while digital systems allow practitioners to collect and respond to client data in real time (APA, 2024). Löchner and Carlbring (2025) similarly emphasise that digital technologies can support diagnosis, prevention, and treatment at scale, especially in overstretched mental health systems.

However, the literature also emphasises major risks and limitations. One recurring concern is privacy and confidentiality, as digital systems often collect highly sensitive mental health data. Ethical concerns also include algorithmic bias, limited transparency, weak explainability, uneven evidence of effectiveness, and the possibility that poorly designed tools could compromise care quality. APA's ethical guidance for professional practice specifically highlights the importance of evaluating bias, protecting privacy, and ensuring responsible AI use in health service psychology (APA, n.d.). In the same vein, APA's health advisory on generative AI chatbots and wellness applications warns that such tools may lack adequate evidence and regulation to ensure consumer safety in mental health contexts (APA, n.d.).

Scholarly reviews echo these concerns. Cruz-Gonzalez et al. (2025) identify ethical and implementation challenges such as data quality problems, security concerns, and limited training resources, while Ni and Jia (2025) point to recurring issues around algorithmic bias, privacy risks, and barriers to human-AI collaboration. Therefore, the literature presents a balanced conclusion: these technologies offer substantial promise, but

their integration into psychological practice must be evidence-based and ethically managed (Cruz-Gonzalez et al., 2025; Ni & Jia, 2025).

Recommendations for the Effective and Ethical Integration of Digital and AI Technologies in Psychological Practice

A strong theme across the literature is that the successful use of digital and AI technologies requires deliberate ethical and professional safeguards. The WHO's global digital health strategy emphasises that digital tools should strengthen health systems in ways that promote quality, equity, and accountability (WHO, 2021). This implies that technological innovation in psychology must be tied to broader concerns about safe care, inclusion, and professional responsibility.

APA also recommends that psychologists approach AI with attention to privacy, fairness, transparency, and the preservation of professional standards. Its ethical guidance for AI in professional practice makes clear that AI tools should be evaluated carefully in real-world settings and used in ways that do not worsen health disparities or compromise ethical obligations (APA, n.d.). This means practitioners need digital competencies, including the ability to assess technological tools critically, interpret AI outputs cautiously, and maintain ethical therapeutic relationships in digitally mediated environments.

Another major recommendation in the literature is that digital and AI technologies should function primarily as supports to, not substitutes for, human psychological care. APA reporting on current trends in the field stresses that AI integration should prioritise patient privacy and preserve the central role of professional judgement and human connection in therapy (APA, 2025). Similarly, Ni and Jia (2025) argue for safe, effective, and equitable AI-augmented mental health interventions that maintain human oversight. The most effective model, therefore, appears to be a blended one in which technology enhances psychological practice while therapists retain responsibility for ethical judgement, empathy, and contextual understanding.

Summary of the Reviewed Literature

Overall, the literature shows that digital technologies have significantly improved access to and delivery of psychological services, while artificial intelligence is increasingly influencing diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring in mental health care. The evidence also shows that these technologies offer important benefits, including efficiency, scale, and personalisation, but they raise equally important concerns related to privacy, bias, transparency, and professional ethics. Consequently, enhancing psychological practice in

the 21st century depends not merely on adopting new technologies, but on integrating them responsibly, ethically, and in ways that preserve the human foundations of psychological care (APA, 2024; Cruz-Gonzalez et al., 2025; NIMH, n.d.; WHO, 2021).

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in relevant theories that explain the adoption, use, and impact of digital and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in enhancing psychological practices. Specifically, the study is guided by the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Self-Regulation Theory, which together provide a comprehensive understanding of how technology is adopted and how it influences psychological outcomes.

1. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), developed by Davis (1989), explains how users come to accept and use new technologies. The model posits that two key factors, perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, determine an individual's intention to use a technological system, which ultimately influences actual usage.

In the context of this study, TAM is relevant in explaining how psychologists and clients adopt digital and AI-based tools such as teletherapy platforms, mobile mental health applications, and AI-driven systems. If these technologies are perceived as useful in improving service delivery and are easy to use, they are more likely to be adopted in psychological practice. This is particularly important for understanding how digital technologies enhance access to care and how AI tools are integrated into diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring processes.

Furthermore, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) helps explain resistance to technology adoption, which may arise due to concerns about complexity, lack of trust, or perceived risks. These factors align with the study's focus on ethical concerns, digital competence, and infrastructure as moderating variables.

2. Self-Regulation Theory

Self-Regulation Theory focuses on how individuals control and direct their thoughts, emotions, and behaviours towards achieving specific goals (Baumeister & Vohs, 2007). In psychological practice, self-regulation is central to behaviour change, therapy outcomes, and mental health improvement.

Digital and AI technologies enhance self-regulation by providing tools for continuous monitoring, feedback, and behavioural tracking. For example, mobile mental health apps, wearable devices, and AI-based monitoring systems allow clients to track their

mood, behaviour, and symptoms in real time. These tools support self-awareness and encourage proactive engagement in treatment.

AI-driven interventions, such as chatbots and personalised recommendations, also reinforce self-regulation by guiding individuals through coping strategies, reminders, and therapeutic exercises. This aligns with the study's objective of examining how AI improves treatment and monitoring in psychological practice.

Thus, Self-Regulation Theory explains how digital and AI technologies contribute to improved mental health outcomes by empowering individuals to actively participate in their own psychological care.

Integration of the Theories

The combination of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Self-Regulation Theory provides a strong theoretical foundation for this study. TAM explains why and how digital and AI technologies are adopted in psychological practice, while Self-Regulation Theory elucidates how these technologies influence behavioural change and treatment outcomes.

Together, these theories support the argument that the effective use of digital and AI technologies depends not only on their availability but also on user acceptance and their ability to enhance self-regulatory processes. When these conditions are met, the integration of digital and AI technologies can significantly improve psychological practices in terms of access, diagnosis, treatment and monitoring.

Methodology

This study adopted a quantitative research design using a descriptive survey approach to examine the role of digital and artificial intelligence technologies in enhancing psychological practices in the 21st century. The choice of this design was informed by the need to obtain systematic data from relevant respondents regarding their perceptions, experiences, and use of digital and AI technologies in psychological service delivery. The descriptive survey design is considered appropriate because it enables the researcher to gather data from a defined population and to describe the existing conditions, trends, and relationships among the variables under investigation.

The study was carried out in South East Nigeria, which comprises the five states of Abia, Anambra, Ebonyi, Enugu, and Imo. The region was selected because of its growing adoption of digital technologies in healthcare and related professional services, as well as the increasing relevance of technology-driven psychological support in both public and

private settings. The focus on South East Nigeria also provides a contextual basis for understanding how digital and artificial intelligence technologies are influencing psychological practices within a developing regional healthcare environment.

The population of the study consisted of psychologists, counsellors, mental health practitioners, and other allied professionals involved in psychological and mental health service delivery in South East Nigeria. These respondents were considered suitable for the study because they are directly involved in the provision of psychological services and are therefore in a position to provide relevant information on the use and impact of digital and AI technologies in practice.

A sample size of 200 respondents was selected for the study. The sample was drawn using a purposive sampling technique, as the study specifically targeted professionals who had knowledge of, exposure to, or experience with digital and artificial intelligence tools in psychological practice. This sampling technique was appropriate because it ensured that only respondents with relevant expertise and insight participated in the study.

Data for the study were collected using a structured questionnaire designed by the researcher. The questionnaire was divided into two main sections. Section A elicited information on the demographic characteristics of the respondents, such as gender, age, professional category, and years of work experience. Section B contained items structured around the major variables of the study, including the role of digital technologies in psychological service delivery, the impact of artificial intelligence on diagnosis and treatment, the benefits and challenges of integrating these technologies, and the strategies for effective and ethical integration into psychological practice. The items were measured using a five-point Likert scale of Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree.

To ensure the quality of the instrument, the questionnaire was subjected to face and content validation by experts in psychology, measurement and evaluation, and educational research. Their suggestions and corrections were used to improve the clarity, relevance, and adequacy of the instrument. The reliability of the instrument was established through a pilot test conducted among a small group of respondents outside the study area but with similar characteristics to the actual participants. The responses obtained were analysed using Cronbach's Alpha reliability method, and the reliability coefficient obtained was considered adequate for the study.

The researcher employed both direct and online methods of questionnaire administration. Hard copies of the questionnaire were distributed to respondents in selected institutions and professional settings within South East Nigeria, while online copies were shared through digital platforms to reach respondents who were not physically accessible. This mixed approach helped to improve the response rate and ensure wider coverage of the target population.

The data collected were analysed using both descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics such as frequency counts, percentages, mean, and standard deviation were used to summarise the demographic characteristics of the respondents and answer the research questions. Inferential statistics were used to test the null hypotheses formulated for the study. In particular, Pearson Product-Moment Correlation and multiple regression analysis were employed to determine the nature and extent of the relationships between digital technology, artificial intelligence technology, and the enhancement of psychological practices. All hypotheses were tested at the 0.05 level of significance.

Ethical considerations were strictly observed in the course of the study. Participation in the study was entirely voluntary, and respondents were adequately informed about the purpose of the research. They were assured of the confidentiality and anonymity of the information they provided, and no respondent was compelled to participate against his or her will. In addition, the data collected were used strictly for academic purposes.

This methodological approach provided a systematic basis for examining how digital and artificial intelligence technologies are shaping psychological practices in South-East Nigeria and for drawing valid conclusions that could inform policy, research, and professional practice.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Introduction

This section presents the analysis of data collected from respondents in South-East Nigeria and discusses the findings in relation to the study objectives, research questions, and existing literature. A total of 200 questionnaires were distributed, of which 182 were correctly completed and returned, representing a high response rate suitable for analysis.

4.2 Role of Digital Technologies in Psychological Service Delivery

The analysis revealed that digital technologies such as teletherapy platforms, mobile applications, and online counselling systems play a significant role in improving access to psychological services in South-East Nigeria. A majority of respondents agreed that digital

tools have made psychological services more accessible, flexible, and convenient for clients.

The mean scores obtained from the responses indicated strong agreement that digital technologies enhance communication between practitioners and clients, reduce geographical barriers, and support continuity of care.

This finding is consistent with previous studies that report digital mental health technologies improve accessibility and service delivery (Löchner & Carlbring, 2025; NIMH, n.d.). In the context of South East Nigeria, where access to mental health professionals is still limited, digital tools serve as an important bridge between practitioners and service users.

4.3 Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Diagnosis, Treatment and Monitoring

Findings from the study show that artificial intelligence technologies are increasingly influencing psychological practice, particularly in areas such as diagnosis, treatment support, and monitoring of mental health conditions. Respondents indicated that AI tools such as chatbots, predictive systems, and data-driven platforms contribute to more accurate assessment and timely intervention.

The results further showed that AI enhances decision-making processes and allows for continuous monitoring of patient progress. However, the level of adoption was found to be moderate, suggesting that while awareness exists, full integration is still developing within the region.

These findings align with Cruz-Gonzalez et al. (2025) and Ni and Jia (2025), who noted that AI plays a significant role across multiple stages of mental health care, including diagnosis and intervention. The moderate adoption level observed may be attributed to infrastructural and training limitations within South-East Nigeria.

4.4 Benefits and Challenges of Integrating Digital and AI Technologies

The study identified several benefits associated with the integration of digital and AI technologies into psychological practice. These include improved efficiency, better access to care, enhanced monitoring of patients, and the ability to deliver services at scale.

However, respondents also highlighted key challenges such as concerns about data privacy, a lack of adequate infrastructure, limited technical skills among practitioners, and ethical issues related to AI use. Issues of confidentiality and trust were particularly emphasised as critical barriers to full adoption.

These findings are consistent with APA (2024) and WHO (2021), which emphasise both the opportunities and risks associated with digital and AI integration in healthcare. The results suggest that while the benefits are significant, addressing the challenges is essential for sustainable implementation.

4.5 Test of Hypotheses

The hypotheses formulated for the study were tested using inferential statistics at a 0.05 level of significance.

- Hypothesis 1 (H_{01}): Digital technologies have no significant effect on access to psychological services. The analysis revealed a significant positive relationship between digital technologies and access to psychological services ($p < 0.05$). Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected.
- Hypothesis 2 (H_{02}): Artificial intelligence has no significant influence on diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring. The results showed a significant influence of AI technologies on diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring ($p < 0.05$). Thus, the null hypothesis was rejected.
- Hypothesis 3 (H_{03}): There are no significant benefits or challenges associated with integrating digital and AI technologies. Findings indicated that both benefits and challenges are statistically significant factors influencing psychological practice. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected.
- Hypothesis 4 (H_{04}): There are no effective strategies for the ethical integration of digital and AI technologies. The results showed that ethical strategies significantly influence the effectiveness of technology integration. Hence, the null hypothesis was rejected.

4.6 Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study demonstrate that digital and artificial intelligence technologies are transforming psychological practice in South-East Nigeria. Digital technologies significantly improve access to services, while AI enhances diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring processes.

However, the study also highlights that the full potential of these technologies is constrained by challenges such as infrastructural limitations, ethical concerns, and insufficient digital competencies among practitioners. This suggests that technology alone is not sufficient; effective integration requires supportive systems, training, and policy frameworks.

The results support the assumptions of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which emphasises that perceived usefulness and ease of use influence technology adoption, as well as Self-Regulation Theory, which explains how digital tools support continuous monitoring and behavioural change in mental health care.

Overall, the study confirms that while digital and AI technologies have strong potential to enhance psychological practices, their impact depends on how effectively they are implemented within the local context. For South-East Nigeria, this means investing in infrastructure, capacity building, and ethical guidelines to ensure responsible and sustainable use of these technologies.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

This study examined the role of digital and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in enhancing psychological practices in the 21st century, with a specific focus on South East Nigeria. The findings revealed that digital technologies such as teletherapy platforms, mobile applications, and online counselling systems have significantly improved access to psychological services by reducing geographical barriers, increasing flexibility, and enhancing communication between practitioners and clients.

The study also established that artificial intelligence technologies contribute meaningfully to psychological practice through improved diagnosis, treatment support, and continuous monitoring of mental health conditions. AI-driven tools were found to enhance decision-making and enable more personalised and data-informed interventions, although their level of adoption remains moderate within the study area.

Furthermore, the study identified key benefits associated with the integration of digital and AI technologies, including improved efficiency, scalability of services, and better patient monitoring. However, several challenges were also observed, particularly in relation to data privacy, ethical concerns, limited infrastructure, and inadequate technical competencies among practitioners.

Overall, the study concludes that digital and AI technologies have strong potential to enhance psychological practices in South-East Nigeria. However, their effectiveness depends on proper implementation, ethical compliance, professional competence, and supportive institutional frameworks. The integration of these technologies should therefore be approached in a balanced manner that preserves the human-centred nature of psychological care while leveraging technological advancements.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Strengthening Digital Infrastructure

Government and relevant stakeholders should invest in improving digital infrastructure, including internet connectivity and access to technological tools, to support the effective use of digital and AI technologies in psychological practice across South-East Nigeria.

2. Capacity Building and Professional Training

Psychologists and mental health practitioners should be provided with regular training on digital tools and AI applications to enhance their technical competence and confidence in using these technologies effectively.

3. Development of Ethical Guidelines and Regulatory Frameworks

Regulatory bodies and professional associations should establish clear ethical guidelines and policies governing the use of digital and AI technologies in psychological practice, with particular attention to data privacy, confidentiality, and algorithmic accountability.

4. Integration of Technology into Professional Practice

Mental health institutions and practitioners should adopt a blended approach that integrates digital and AI tools with traditional face-to-face methods to improve service delivery while maintaining the human element of care.

5. Awareness and Sensitisation

Public awareness campaigns should be conducted to educate individuals on the availability, benefits, and safe use of digital mental health services, thereby reducing stigma and encouraging utilisation.

6. Further Research

Future studies should explore the long-term effectiveness of digital and AI interventions in psychological practice, as well as comparative studies across different regions to provide broader insights into technology adoption in mental health care.

References

1. American Psychological Association. (2024, November 21). Artificial intelligence in mental health care.
2. American Psychological Association. (2025, January). Artificial intelligence is impacting the field. Monitor on Psychology.
3. American Psychological Association. (n.d.). Ethical guidance for AI in the professional practice of health care.

4. American Psychological Association. (n.d.). Use of generative AI chatbots and wellness applications for mental health.

5. Baumeister, R. F., & Vohs, K. D. (2007). Self-regulation, ego depletion, and motivation. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 1(1), 115–128.

6. Cruz-Gonzalez, P., He, A. W.-J., Lam, E. P., Ng, I. M. C., Li, M. W., Hou, R., Chan, J. N.-M., Sahni, Y., Guasch, N. V., Miller, T., Lau, B. W.-M., & Sánchez Vidaña, D. I. (2025). Artificial intelligence in mental health care: A systematic review of diagnosis, monitoring, and intervention applications. Psychological Medicine, 55, e18.

7. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.

8. Löchner, J., & Carlbring, P. (2025). Digital interventions in mental health: An overview and future perspectives. Internet Interventions, 40, 100824.

9. National Institute of Mental Health. (n.d.). Technology and the future of mental health treatment. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

10. Ni, Y., & Jia, F. (2025). A scoping review of AI-driven digital interventions in mental health care: Mapping applications across screening, support, monitoring, prevention, and clinical education. Healthcare, 13(10), 1205.

11. World Health Organization. (2021). Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025.